

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/617,185	07/11/2003	Minh-Tan Ton-That	942079	3005
33798	7590 09/17/2004		EXAM	INER
ANISSIMOFF & ASSOCIATES			RAJGURU, UMAKANT K	
RICHMONI	NORTH OFFICE CENT	CRE		
SUITE 201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
235 NORTH CENTRE RD.			1711	
LONDON,	ON N5X 4E7			
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/617,185	TON-THAT ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Umakant K. Rajguru	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	v. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	* *
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		* *
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<u> </u>		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	, 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documer		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		· ·
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		received in this National Stage
application from the International Burea		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not	receivea.
Attachment(s))	A) [] Internity 0	Summany (DTO 442)
Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	4) 🔲 Interview S Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	3) 5) 🔲 Notice of In	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	<u>_</u> .

Art Unit: 1711

- 1. Claims 1-23 are presented for examination.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-17 and 19-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Got et al (US 6066278) in view of Kishimura et al (US 4755553). Got describes method of producing wood fiber composite material. It is comprised of wood cellulose filler and olefin series plastic. Calcium oxide is added to the composite. Olefin series plastic is polypropylene modified by maleic anhydride (col. 2, lines 6-13).

Got does not mention acid number of polypropylene grafted with maleic anhydride.

Kishimura describes primer composition composed of (a) a chlorinated carboxylgroup-containing colefin polymer and (b) an organic solvent (abstract). Ingredient (a) is Art Unit: 1711

a graft-modified propylene polymer having an acid value of 6-187 mg KOH/g (col. 3, lines 63-68; col. 5, lines 18-27).

It would have been obvious to make sure that the modified polypropylene used in the composite of Got, possesses an acid value (also called acid number) of 15 mg/ KOH/g or more in order to enhance adhesion between cellulosic filler and olefin plastic.

4. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Got et al (US 6066278) in view of Kishimura et al (US 475553) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Coran et al (US 4323625) or Felegi Jr. et al (US 5,134,179).

Combination of Got and Kishimura does not mention several additives (of instant claim 18).

Coran discloses composites similar to that of Got. Suitable fillers, plasticizers are included in those composites (col. 4, lines 55-68). Felegi also discloses similar composite fiberboard containing extender particles (col. 10, line 65 to col. 11, line 2).

It would have been obvious to include the additives of Coran or Felegi into the composite of Got to enhance mechanical strength of (Got's) composite.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Umakant K Rajguru whose telephone number is 571-272-1077. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-9306. The fax phone



Application/Control Number: 10/617,185

Art Unit: 1711

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rajguru/LR/dh August 25, 2004

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700 Page 4